

**Amendments to the Claims:**

This listing of claims will replace all prior versions, and listings of claims in the application. Applicant has submitted a new complete claim set showing marked up claims with insertions indicated by underlining and deletions indicated by strikeouts and/or double bracketing.

## Listing of Claims:

1. (Currently amended) A method comprising:  
     computing a minimum cost path in a stereo disparity model  
 between a scan line of a first image and a corresponding scan line of a second image of  
 a stereo image pair, the stereo disparity model distinguishing between non-fronto-  
 parallel matched pixels in each scan line and occluded pixels in each scan line, the  
computing comprising using a three-layer graph for dynamic programming.
  
2. (Original) The method of claim 1 wherein the computing operation  
 comprises:  
     computing matching costs for each pixel of each scan line pair.
  
3. (Original) The method of claim 1 wherein the computing operation  
 comprises:  
     computing matching costs for each pixel of each scan line pair  
 using a windowed matching cost function.
  
4. (Original) The method of claim 1 wherein the computing operation  
 comprises:  
     altering the matching costs for at least one pixel pair based on  
 whether the pixel pair is determined to be associated with a non-fronto-parallel surface  
 or an occlusion.
  
5. (Currently amended) The method of claim 1 wherein the computing  
 operation comprises:  
     determining a minimum cost path in the stereo disparity model  
using anisotropic smoothing.

Type of Response: Amendment  
 Application Number: 10/681,007  
 Attorney Docket Number: 304561.01  
 Filing Date: 10/08/2003

6. (Original) The method of claim 1 wherein the computing operation comprises:  
applying a cost penalty to a move from an occluded pixel pair to a matched pixel pair.

7. (Original) The method of claim 1 wherein the computing operation comprises:  
applying a cost penalty to a move from a matched pixel pair to an occluded pixel pair.

8. (Original) The method of claim 1 wherein the computing operation comprises:  
applying a cost penalty to a move from an occluded pixel pair to another occluded pixel pair.

9. (Original) The method of claim 1 wherein the computing operation comprises:  
applying a first cost penalty to a move from an occluded pixel pair to another occluded pixel pair; and  
applying a second cost penalty to a move from a matched pixel pair to an occluded pixel pair, the first cost penalty being different than the second cost penalty.

10. (Original) The method of claim 1 wherein the computing operation comprises:  
applying a first cost penalty to a move from an occluded pixel pair to another occluded pixel pair; and  
applying a second cost penalty to a move from a matched pixel pair to an occluded pixel pair, the first cost penalty being less than the second cost penalty.

11. (Original) The method of claim 1 further comprising:  
computing a cyclopean virtual image scan line based on corresponding pixels of the scan lines of the first and second images, a disparity of the corresponding pixels being characterized by a minimum cost path of the stereo disparity model.

12. (Original) The method of claim 1 further comprising:  
computing a cyclopean virtual image scan line based on corresponding pixels of the scan lines of the first and second images, wherein

Type of Response: Amendment  
Application Number: 10/681,007  
Attorney Docket Number: 304561.01  
Filing Date: 10/08/2003

corresponding pixels that are matched are projected as a virtual pixel onto the cyclopean virtual image scan line.

13. (Original) The method of claim 1 further comprising:  
computing a cyclopean virtual image scan line based on  
corresponding pixels of the scan lines of the first and second images, wherein  
corresponding pixels that are averaged to determined a value of a resulting virtual pixel  
on the cyclopean virtual image scan line.

14. (Original) The method of claim 1 further comprising:  
computing a cyclopean virtual image scan line based on  
corresponding pixels of the scan lines of the first and second images, wherein a non-  
occluded pixel of an occluded pair of corresponding pixels is projected as a virtual pixel  
onto the cyclopean virtual image scan line from a background disparity in the stereo  
disparity model.

15. (Original) The method of claim 1 further comprising:  
computing a cyclopean virtual image scan line based on  
corresponding pixels of the scan lines of the first and second images, wherein a value of  
a non-occluded pixel of an occluded pair of corresponding pixels is selected as a value  
of a resulting virtual pixel on the cyclopean virtual image scan line.

Type of Response: Amendment  
Application Number: 10/681,007  
Attorney Docket Number: 304561.01  
Filing Date: 10/08/2003

16. (Currently amended) A computer program product encoding a computer program for executing on a computer system a computer process, the computer process comprising:

computing a minimum cost path in a stereo disparity model between a scan line of a first image and a corresponding scan line of a second image of a stereo image pair, the stereo disparity model distinguishing between non-fronto-parallel matched pixels in each scan line and occluded pixels in each scan line, the computing comprising using a three-layer-graph for dynamic programming.

17. (Original) The computer program product of claim 16 wherein the computing operation comprises:

computing matching costs for each pixel of each scan line pair.

18. (Original) The computer program product of claim 16 wherein the computing operation comprises:

computing matching costs for each pixel of each scan line pair using a windowed matching cost function.

19. (Original) The computer program product of claim 16 wherein the computing operation comprises:

altering the matching costs for at least one pixel pair based on whether the pixel pair is determined to be associated with a non-fronto-parallel surface or an occlusion.

20. (Currently amended) The computer program product of claim 16 wherein the computing operation comprises:

determining a minimum cost path in the stereo disparity model using anisotropic smoothing.

21. (Original) The computer program product of claim 16 wherein the computing operation comprises:

applying a cost penalty to a move from an occluded pixel pair to a matched pixel pair.

22. (Original) The computer program product of claim 16 wherein the computing operation comprises:

applying a cost penalty to a move from a matched pixel pair to an occluded pixel pair.

Type of Response: Amendment  
Application Number: 10/681,007  
Attorney Docket Number: 304561.01  
Filing Date: 10/08/2003

23. (Original) The computer program product of claim 16 wherein the computing operation comprises:

applying a cost penalty to a move from an occluded pixel pair to another occluded pixel pair.

24. (Original) The computer program product of claim 16 wherein the computing operation comprises:

applying a first cost penalty to a move from an occluded pixel pair to another occluded pixel pair; and

applying a second cost penalty to a move from a matched pixel pair to an occluded pixel pair, the first cost penalty being different than the second cost penalty.

25. (Original) The computer program product of claim 16 wherein the computing operation comprises:

applying a first cost penalty to a move from an occluded pixel pair to another occluded pixel pair; and

applying a second cost penalty to a move from a matched pixel pair to an occluded pixel pair, the first cost penalty being less than the second cost penalty.

26. (Original) The computer program product of claim 16 wherein the computer process further comprises:

computing a cyclopean virtual image scan line based on corresponding pixels of the scan lines of the first and second images, a disparity of the corresponding pixels being characterized by a minimum cost path of the stereo disparity model.

27. (Original) The computer program product of claim 16 wherein the computer process further comprises:

computing a cyclopean virtual image scan line based on corresponding pixels of the scan lines of the first and second images, wherein corresponding pixels that are matched are projected as a virtual pixel onto the cyclopean virtual image scan line.

28. (Original) The computer program product of claim 16 wherein the computer process further comprises:

computing a cyclopean virtual image scan line based on corresponding pixels of the scan lines of the first and second images, wherein corresponding pixels that are averaged to determined a value of a resulting virtual pixel on the cyclopean virtual image scan line.

Type of Response: Amendment  
Application Number: 10/681,007  
Attorney Docket Number: 304561.01  
Filing Date: 10/08/2003

29. (Original) The computer program product of claim 16 wherein the computer process further comprises:

computing a cyclopean virtual image scan line based on corresponding pixels of the scan lines of the first and second images, wherein a non-occluded pixel of an occluded pair of corresponding pixels is projected as a virtual pixel onto the cyclopean virtual image scan line from a background disparity in the stereo disparity model.

30. (Original) The computer program product of claim 16 wherein the computer process further comprises:

computing a cyclopean virtual image scan line based on corresponding pixels of the scan lines of the first and second images, wherein a value of a non-occluded pixel of an occluded pair of corresponding pixels is selected as a value of a resulting virtual pixel on the cyclopean virtual image scan line.

Type of Response: Amendment  
Application Number: 10/681,007  
Attorney Docket Number: 304561.01  
Filing Date: 10/08/2003

31. (Currently Amended) A system comprising:  
a dynamic programming module configured to use a three-layer-graph for dynamic programming to compute a minimum cost path in a stereo disparity model between a scan line of a first image and a corresponding scan line of a second image of a stereo image pair, the stereo disparity model distinguishing between non-fronto-parallel matched pixels in each scan line and occluded pixels in each scan line; and  
\_\_\_\_\_ a processor.
32. (Original) The system of claim 31 wherein the dynamic programming module computes matching costs for each pixel of each scan line pair.
33. (Original) The system of claim 31 wherein the dynamic programming module computes matching costs for each pixel of each scan line pair using a windowed matching cost function.
34. (Original) The system of claim 31 wherein the dynamic programming module alters the matching costs for at least one pixel pair based on whether the pixel pair is determined to be associated with a non-fronto-parallel surface or an occlusion.
35. (Currently amended) The system of claim 31 wherein the dynamic programming module determines a minimum cost path in the stereo disparity model using anisotropic smoothing.
36. (Original) The system of claim 31 wherein the dynamic programming module applies a cost penalty to a move from an occluded pixel pair to a matched pixel pair.
37. (Original) The system of claim 31 wherein the dynamic programming module applies a cost penalty to a move from a matched pixel pair to an occluded pixel pair.
38. (Original) The system of claim 31 wherein the dynamic programming module applies a cost penalty to a move from an occluded pixel pair to another occluded pixel pair.
39. (Original) The system of claim 31 wherein the dynamic programming module applies a first cost penalty to a move from an occluded pixel pair to another

Type of Response: Amendment  
Application Number: 10/681,007  
Attorney Docket Number: 304561.01  
Filing Date: 10/08/2003

occluded pixel pair and a second cost penalty to a move from a matched pixel pair to an occluded pixel pair, the first cost penalty being different than the second cost penalty.

40. (Original) The system of claim 31 wherein the dynamic programming module applies a first cost penalty to a move from an occluded pixel pair to another occluded pixel pair and a second cost penalty to a move from a matched pixel pair to an occluded pixel pair, the first cost penalty being less than the second cost penalty.

41. (Original) The system of claim 31 further comprising:  
a cyclopean virtual image generator computing a cyclopean virtual image scan line based on corresponding pixels of the scan lines of the first and second images, a disparity of the corresponding pixels being characterized by a minimum cost path of the stereo disparity model.

42. (Original) The system of claim 31 further comprising:  
a cyclopean virtual image generator computing a cyclopean virtual image scan line based on corresponding pixels of the scan lines of the first and second images, wherein corresponding pixels that are matched are projected as a virtual pixel onto the cyclopean virtual image scan line.

43. (Original) The system of claim 31 further comprising:  
a cyclopean virtual image generator computing a cyclopean virtual image scan line based on corresponding pixels of the scan lines of the first and second images, wherein corresponding pixels that are averaged to determine a value of a resulting virtual pixel on the cyclopean virtual image scan line.

44. (Original) The system of claim 31 further comprising:  
a cyclopean virtual image generator computing a cyclopean virtual image scan line based on corresponding pixels of the scan lines of the first and second images, wherein a non-occluded pixel of an occluded pair of corresponding pixels is projected as a virtual pixel onto the cyclopean virtual image scan line from a background disparity in the stereo disparity model.

45. (Original) The system of claim 31 further comprising:  
a cyclopean virtual image generator computing a cyclopean virtual image scan line based on corresponding pixels of the scan lines of the first and second images, wherein a value of a non-occluded pixel of an occluded pair of corresponding pixels is selected as a value of a resulting virtual pixel on the cyclopean virtual image scan line.

Type of Response: Amendment  
Application Number: 10/681,007  
Attorney Docket Number: 304561.01  
Filing Date: 10/08/2003